

Exhibit A

(Filed Under Seal)

Exhibit B

(Filed Under Seal)

Exhibit C

(Filed Under Seal)

Exhibit D

(Filed Under Seal)

Exhibit E

(Filed Under Seal)

Exhibit F

(Filed Under Seal)

Exhibit G

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

Case No. 3:07-cv-05944 SC
MDL No. 1817

-----x
IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION
-----x

This Document Relates to:
ALL ACTIONS
-----x

599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York

October 9, 2014
9:10 a.m.

30(b)(6) DEPOSITION of PANASONIC
DEFENDANTS and its Representative ROBERT L.
NOWICKI, taken by the Plaintiffs, held at the
aforementioned time and place, before Sherri
Flagg, a Registered Professional Reporter,
Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary Public.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 12

1 JVC and Kenwood Corporation.

2 Q. Going forward, does that continue
3 to today or has there been another change?

4 A. As of 2012, Panasonic no longer
5 owns any shares of JVC Capital Holding, Inc.

6 Q. Does it own any shares today of any
7 JVC entity, to the best of your knowledge?

8 A. Not that I'm aware of.

9 Q. Okay. Let's go to 1(E) which is
10 Sanyo Electric Company, Limited, again, has the
11 -- when did any Panasonic entity first acquire
12 any shares of Sanyo Electric Company, Limited?

13 A. December 21st, 2009.

14 Q. And which entity acquired those
15 shares?

16 A. Panasonic Corporation.

17 Q. And what percentage of the shares
18 did it acquire?

19 A. It acquired a 50.2 percent stake in
20 Sanyo Electric Company, Limited.

21 Q. And has that stake changed between
22 December 21st and the present?

23 A. Since 2011 Panasonic Corporation
24 has owned 100 percent of Sanyo Electric Co.,
25 Limited.

Exhibit H

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION
No. 07-cv-05944 SC
This Document Relates to: MDL No. 1917
...(continuing caption page 2)

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
SAMSUNG SDI, INC., CO., LTD,
et al.,
Defendants.

CONTINUED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
DEPOSITION OF TATSUO TOBINAGA
San Francisco, California
Tuesday, July 17, 2012

Reported by:
LESLIE ROCKWOOD
CSR No. 3462

Page 178

1 by another CRT manufacturer to go into a Panasonic 09:36:37
2 television set? 09:36:39

3 A. I would speculate that there are other ones, but 09:37:03
4 I don't know all of the steps. 09:37:15

5 Q. Thank you. 09:37:16

6 Now, let's talk about when -- let's talk about 09:37:20
7 what steps would be required in order to get a 09:37:23
8 Panasonic -- or sorry -- an MTPD CRT into a Samsung 09:37:27
9 television set. Would these steps be identical to the 09:37:32
10 ones you've just described? 09:37:36

11 A. I have no knowledge whatsoever about the steps 09:37:53
12 for Samsung. 09:37:55

13 Q. Or using a Panasonic tube in the -- in a TV set 09:37:58
14 not made by Panasonic? 09:38:03

15 A. So you're talking about manufacturers other than 09:38:14
16 Samsung? 09:38:19

17 Q. Well, did you -- did MTPD sell tubes to any 09:38:19
18 entity that was not owned or controlled by Panasonic? 09:38:23

19 A. Yes, it has. 09:38:25

20 Q. Who? 09:38:47

21 A. For example, Sharp and Sanyo and major 09:38:49
22 television manufacturers -- Japanese manufacturers. 09:39:06

23 Q. And when you manufacture tubes for Sharp and 09:39:10
24 Sanyo, you do so according to the specifications in their 09:39:14
25 purchase orders? 09:39:18

Exhibit I

(Filed Under Seal)